

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. CONTRACT ID CODE <b>J</b>		PAGE OF PAGES <b>1   10</b>	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <b>0006</b>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <b>07-Jul-2016</b>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC NORTHWEST 1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE SILVERDALE WA 98315-1101		CODE <b>N44255</b>		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)  <b>See Item 6</b>		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <b>N44255-16-R-9003</b>	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) <b>24-May-2016</b>	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
<b>12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)</b>							
<b>13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.</b>							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
<b>14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)</b>  This amendment to Request for Proposal (RFP) FEAD Painting Single Award Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) is hereby issued to provide clarifications and answers to Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPI) received in response to the RFP.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  <b>07-Jul-2016</b>	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0006

1. This is amendment hereby provides answers to the PPIs received in response to the RFP.

Question #1. The SF 30 and Page 2 of Amendment 0005, as well as the new SF 1442, Page 1 states that the proposal has been extended to July 15, 2016, however, Page 9 of Amendment 0005, Paragraph 2, states the proposal due date has been extended to July 12, 2016. Please confirm the new due date for the proposal is July 15, 2016.

Answer #1. This was an administrative error. The proposal due date is July 15, 2016.

Question #2. Amendment #5, Questions & Answers, Answer #9 and Page 79 of RFP Fead Painting IDIQ, Section 00802, 5.4 Site Safety & Health Officer (SSHO) Qualifications and Duties, Last Sentence:

“The SSHO shall provide a resume documenting that the following requirements are satisfied in accordance with the deliverable posted in Section 00803...”Is the Resume required with the response to the Solicitation/Task Order (as part of the Technical Proposal) or is this only a “CONTRACT DELIVERABLE” to be provided for an award of the Seed Project/Subsequent Task Orders as per Section 00803, Article 8.1, 5.4?

Answer #2. Yes, the SSHO resume is required 14 days after the award of the Task Order IAW with Section 00803 Basic Contract / Task Order Deliverables. This is not a submittal requirement for the Base IDIQ contract.

Question #3 Attachment M FOIC has been included in the Solicitation packet, we could not locate instructions pertaining to this form. Is this form to be returned as part of our bid response? If so, where shall it be included.

Answer #3. The offeror is directed to Section 3.14 of the Statement of Work (SOW). No this form is required at the Task Order level when the project is located at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA.

Question #4. In your SOW for the SEED project, you reference “Prime all new and old walls, eves, porches, windows frames, awnings, covered porches and any other items previously painted to match existing facility paint colors.” Considering the products you have selected, this isn’t necessary to prime all surfaces. You may wish to consider priming all new surfaces, surfaces exposed to bare substrate, or areas with unsound coatings. This will save the government a lot of money and corresponds with the most all the paint manufacturers’ printed instructions.

Answer #4. Prime all raw wood and metal surfaces in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations. The Seed Project SOW is hereby amended and the change is incorporated in the solicitation as an attachment to this amendment.

The following have been modified:

AMENDMENT 0005

This amendment to Request for Proposal N44255-16-R-9003 FEAD Single Award Painting IDIQ is hereby issued to provide responses to offeror questions received after the site visit held on Friday, June 17<sup>th</sup>, 2016. This amendment also provides an extension for the RFP's proposal due date from July 01, 2016 to July 15, 2016.

1. Provided below are answers and clarifications for questions received in response to the RFP.

Question #1. Given that the job walk date has been changed, is the bid date still to remain July1, 2016?

Answer #1. The proposal due date has been extended to July 15, 2016.

Question #2. Please provide the Davis Bacon wage determinations for this solicitation as attachments.

Answer #2. Davis Bacon wage determinations are provided in Section 0800 of the RFP and updated wage determinations are hereby provided as attachments to this amendment for the following counties:

Attachment CC

General Decision Number: WA160053 06/24//2016 WA53

Superseded General Decision Number: WA20150053

State: Washington

Construction Type: Building

County: Island County in Washington.

Attachment DD

General Decision Number: WA160054 06/24/2016 WA54

Superseded General Decision Number: WA20150054

State: Washington

Construction Type: Building

County: Jefferson County in Washington.

Attachment EE

General Decision Number: WA160037 06/24/2016 WA37

Superseded General Decision Number: WA20150037

State: Washington

Construction Type: Building

County: Kitsap County in Washington.

Attachment FF

General Decision Number: WA160041 06/24/2016 WA41

Superseded General Decision Number: WA20150041

State: Washington

Construction Type: Building

County: Snohomish County in Washington.

Question #3. Section 00010, Factor 2 Experience, Page number 11, last paragraph says: The attached Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information.

However; Attachment D was not issued with the original solicitation. Attachment E, titled Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet was issued with Amendment 0003. Please confirm that Attachment E, Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet, issued in Amendment 0003, is the attachment we are required to submit for project information in Factor 2 – Experience.

Answer #3. The Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet ATTACHMENT E is the correct form to submit your experience for Factor 2. Section 00010 has been changed to reflect this.

Question #4. Seed Project, N44255-16-R-9003/0001: Are the gutters and downspouts at B2209 & B2304 to be painted?

Answer #4. The gutters and downspouts will not be painted. The downspouts will be removed for the painting of the buildings and then replaced. Downspouts must be removed the day before or the day of painting, and replaced 24 hours after to prevent water damage to the paint or surrounding area in the event of unexpected rain. See Section 4.2.5 of the Seed Project Statement of Work (SOW).

Question #5. Seed Project, N44255-16-R-9003/0001: Are the doors to be painted on the interior and exterior sides for N44255-16-R-9003/0001; or per the industry standard if the work is for an exterior paint project the exterior face paints to include the open edge and if the work is for an interior project the interior face paints to include the hinged edge.

Answer #5. Industry standard is acceptable to the Government.

Question #6. Seed Project, N44255-16-R-9003/0001: In the Site Visit for this Task Order, there was discussion regarding the capturing of spent wash water as it pertains to the Naval Base Kitsap Environmental Standard for Washing when Lead and Asbestos are present. Are you able to provide written clarification for this process by providing the Naval Base Kitsap Contractor's Guide to Environmental Compliance and Contractor's Guide to Hazardous Waste?

Answer #6. Pressure-wash in accordance with NBK Bangor Pressure Wash Policy 030716. The Policy has been incorporated by amendment as Attachment BB to the solicitation.

Question #7. Seed Project, N44255-16-R-9003/0001: Are there elevation drawings that may be sent out electronically?

Answer #7. Elevation drawings are provided with this amendment as Attachment Z and Attachment AA and incorporated into the solicitation.

Question #8. Certified Payrolls, N44255-16-R-9003/0001: Section 00800, Special Contract Requirements, Item #2: "SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENT FOR CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND USE AN ELECTRONIC SOFTWARE FOR PROCESSING DAVIS-BACON ACT CERTIFIED PAYROLLS IN COMPLIANCE...."

Are you able to provide a list of currently approved Electronic Software and will use of Electronic Software for Certified Payrolls be a requirement for all Task Orders issued against this Solicitation?

Answer #8. There are several current systems that are compliant with the Federal payroll requirements however US Department of Labor does not keep a list of approved electronic payroll vendors. The requirements are provided in Section 00800."

Question #9. Solicitation Health and Safety 5.4 Pg. 79-80: Where the paragraph states, "a SSHO or designated representative as identified in the APP/AHA and as deemed appropriate/equivalent to SSHO shall be on-site at all times when work is being performed. The site superintendent or the Task Order Manager meeting the qualifications described below may perform the duties of Task Order SSHO. The SSHO shall provide a resume documenting that the following requirements are satisfied in accordance with the deliverable posted in Section 00803 – Deliveries and Performance"

For the purposes of meeting the requirements of this paragraph is “on-site” defined as having a SSHO appointed and at any of the ongoing work locations or is it defined as having a SSHO appointed and at each of the work locations?

Answer #9. Offerors are directed to Section 0800 of the RFP, Section 5.4 of the SOW.

Question #10. Solicitation Pg. 8 Para 2 Basis of Evaluation of Total Price. The Government will evaluate price based on the Seed Project, Task Order N44255-16-R-9003/0001. Total price consists of the price of Contract Line Item (CLIN) 0001 and the Change Order Markup Rates (Attachment B). For evaluation purposes, the total price will include the following: Price will be evaluated using Line 36 of NAVFAC Form 4330/43 (8/88) (Attachment C).

As discussed in the Pre-Performance Conference we would prefer to use the NAVFAC 4330/43 (12/3/2015) Excel fillable version of the of the 4330/43. However the NAVFAC 4330/43 (12/3/2015) Excel fillable version does not have a line 36, should the bidders modify the excel sheet to match the attachment C or will the government provide a revised sheet?

Answer #10. The NAVFAC 4330/43 (12/3/2015) has been modified and is provided in this amendment as Attachment Y and incorporated into solicitation.

Question #10. There are multiple references in the solicitation to Asphalt work. We assume that the government does not intend to perform Asphalt work under this solicitation and these references will be corrected.

Answer #10. Any references of asphalt have been corrected to read painting in a previous amendment. The offeror should reference Amendment #0001.

Question #11. During the Pre-Proposal Contract it was discussed that the Government has a significant amount of backlog painting work. Could you please provide the total amount of square feet of backlog painting work for interior and exterior painting?

Answer #11. The total square footage of backlog painting projects is not available.

Question #12. 252.216-7006 ORDERING (MAY 2011) Pg. 54 52.216-19 ORDER LIMITATIONS. (OCT 1995) Pg. 44 52.216-18 ORDERING. (OCT 1995) Pg. 43. In regards to Ordering if individual task orders; how many task orders is the successful contractor required have the capacity to perform simultaneously?

Answer #12. The Government is unable to estimate an exact number.

Question #13. 2.7 Davis Bacon Wage Determinations Pg 70. Is all the work to be performed on the task orders resulting from the subject solicitation to be paid as DBA wages?

Answer #13. Task Orders are subject to Davis Bacon wage rates. Each Task Order solicitation will provide wage determinations and the current rates for the project.

Question #14. During the site visit it was noted that other construction contractors had fenced off areas for contractor lay-down areas and site job shacks. Will the successful awardee be allowed to have a lay-down area and secure their work area with a fence and storage containers?

Answer #14. The assignment of a lay-down area is determined on location and other variables of the project and will be examined on a case by case basis. It depends on the area and the security in that area.

Question #15. Was there a predecessor contract for the work proposed under this solicitation? What was the contract number and the contractors name?

Answer #15. There is no incumbent contractor.

Question #16. During the site visit a question was asked about the Pressure Washing Policy. Has the Pressure Washing Policy been added to the solicitation?

Answer #16 Yes.

Question #17. During the site visit a question was asked about the Rain Gutters. Are the Rain Gutters to be painted?

Answer #17. Rain gutters will not be painted; however, the downspouts will be removed for paint and re-installed within 24 hours after painting that section. See Answer #4.

Question #18. During the Pre-Proposal Conference it was discussed that the Government may choose to award to multiple awardees if they found it to be more advantageous to the Government. Could you please describe in more detail how the government will determine if it more advantageous to have multiple contractors as opposed to a single awardee?

Answer #18. That is dependent on the many variables such as is there enough work to support more than one contractor, the amount of forecasted projects, recommendations of the technical evaluation team, a business decision made by the Contracting Officer, and the quality of the proposals received. However, any award decisions would be based on the selection criteria set forth in the RFP. It is currently the Government's intent to only award to one offeror.

Question #19. During the site visit it was noticed that the stair wells had varnished hand rails. Are the handrails to be re-varnished?

Answer #19. Yes.

Question #20. During the site visit it was noticed that there are trash and electrical enclosures adjacent to the buildings. Are the trash and electrical enclosures to be painted along with the building?

Answer #20. Yes.

Question #21. In the instruction to offers, Page 6 of the FEAD Painting IDIQ Solicitation, #7 Project Plans and Specification, it states that each task order for proposal will be issued with its own plans and specifications specific to that work. However in N44255-16R9003 RFP Seed Project didn't include any plans or specifications for the work to be performed.

Answer #21. The Seed Project SOW is provided in NECO.

Question #22. In the General Instructions, Page 67 of the FEAD Painting IDIQ Solicitation, #1.11 Project Plans and Specification, it states that each task order will be issued with its own design documents specific to the project. However in N44255-16-R-9003 RFP Seed Project didn't include any reference to any design documents or criteria for preparation of a NAVFAC Design-Build RFP format.

Answer #22. A SOW was provided with the solicitation. This contract does not allow for Design-Build.



Question #23. In the General Instructions, Page 6 of the FEAD Painting IDIQ Solicitation, Basis of Award, to award the contract to the offeror submitting the lowest priced, technically acceptable offer, however neither the FEAD Painting IDIQ Solicitation or N44255-16-R-9003 RFP Seed Project references any design criteria, specification, references to the Unified Facilities Guide Specification, or applicable industry standards to ensure a fair and competitive analysis of the proposals. Without any requirement of product submission including preparation of the substrate, there is no way for the government to fairly analyze the pricing submitted.

Answer #23. For technical direction and specifications the offeror is directed to the Statement of Work (SOW) attached to the solicitation.

Question #24. During the site visit, it was stated by a representative from NAVFAC, that screening of the water was acceptable form from of collection utilizing filter fabric and protecting the storm drains from water run-off. Is this acceptable?

Answer #24. Offerors are instructed to follow the procedures outlined in the NBK Pressure Washing Policy.

Question #25. During the site visit, it was stated by a representative from NAVFAC painting of gutters and downspouts wasn't required. Is that correct?

Answer #25. Offerors are directed to see the answer provide for Question #4.

Question #26 We have been unable to locate the project specific scope of work for the Seed Project for this solicitation.

Please direct us to the location that it can be retrieved or please provide.

Answer #26. In NECO look to the area described as "Additional Documents" at the top of the page.

Question #27. Please provide paint colors of body, trim, handrails, fascia and soffits for this project.

Answer #27. Draw downs will be provided after award. The colors will match the existing barracks.

Question #28. Are all gutters and downspouts to be painted to match fascia and body color for this project?

Answer #28. No.

Question #29. Are the caps of the exterior handrails to be painted?

Answer #29 Yes.

Question #30. On page 9, under Factor 2, Experience, Size, the Government is asking for offerors to submit one (1) relevant project between \$350,000.00 and \$750,000.00.

Would the Government consider projects as relevant if they are slightly over the \$750,000.00 threshold as stated?

Answer #30. This amendment changes the Factor #2 Experience. Offeror shall submit one (1) relevant project between \$50,000.00 and \$100,000.00. One relevant project must be greater than \$350,000.00.

2. This amendment hereby extends the proposal due date from July 1, 2016 to July 15, 2016 @ 2:00PM PST. Pre-Proposal Inquiries (PPI) will no longer be accepted ten (10) prior to the proposal submission date on July 15, 2016. Should you wish to submit questions, the PPI must be received by July 5, 2016.

(End of Summary of Changes)